

HEALTH DIPLOMACY AND CRISIS MANAGEMENT DURING COVID-19: ANALYZING CHINESE INFLUENCE AND ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS IN CENTRAL ASIA, THE CAUCASUS, AND ITALY

Matteo Maria Cati

Department of Economics, University of Bologna, Italy, Email: matteomaria.cati@unibo.it and matteom.cati@gmail.com

Abstract

This article investigates the role of health diplomacy in shaping the responses to the COVID-19 pandemic in Central Asia, the Caucasus, and Italy, with a particular focus on the influence of Chinese strategies and their economic implications. Through a comparative theoretical analysis, the study explores how these regions managed the crisis, highlighting the intersection of healthcare policies, crisis management strategies, and international diplomacy. The findings demonstrate the significant impact of Chinese health diplomacy, particularly through vaccine distribution and medical aid, on the healthcare responses in Central Asia and the Caucasus, and to a lesser extent in Italy. The economic ramifications of these diplomatic efforts are also examined, revealing how health diplomacy has become a pivotal tool in global health governance and regional influence. The article concludes with policy recommendations, emphasizing the need for strengthened health diplomacy and international cooperation in future global health crises.

Keywords: Health Diplomacy, Central Asia, Caucasus, Chinese Influence, COVID-19 Crisis Management

Introduction

Research Context

The COVID-19 pandemic has profoundly affected global healthcare systems, exposing weaknesses in public health infrastructure and crisis management strategies across various regions. Beyond the immediate health impacts, the pandemic has also brought to the forefront the importance of health diplomacy where healthcare initiatives intersect with foreign policy and international relations. This dynamic has been particularly evident in Central Asia, the Caucasus, and Italy, where the pandemic responses have been shaped by a complex interplay of domestic policies and international influences.

Central Asia and the Caucasus, regions with diverse political landscapes and emerging economies, faced unique challenges during the pandemic. These challenges were exacerbated by the need to secure external resources and navigate international relations, particularly in the context of China's growing influence through health diplomacy. China's strategic deployment of medical aid, vaccines, and expertise often referred to as "vaccine diplomacy" played a significant role in shaping the pandemic responses in these regions. Meanwhile, Italy, one of the first and hardest hit countries in Europe, engaged in health diplomacy within the context of European solidarity, but also interacted with China in managing its healthcare crisis.

The economic impact of these diplomatic engagements cannot be overlooked. Health diplomacy during the pandemic has not only facilitated the flow of critical medical supplies but has also served as a tool for economic influence and geopolitical positioning. Understanding the economic implications of health diplomacy, particularly in the context of Chinese influence, is crucial for assessing the long-term impacts on regional stability and international relations.

Research Questions and Hypotheses

This article addresses the following research questions:

What are the key differences and similarities in healthcare policies, crisis management strategies, and health diplomacy across Central Asia, the Caucasus, and Italy during the COVID-19 pandemic?

To what extent did Chinese strategies, particularly in health diplomacy, influence the healthcare and crisis management policies in these regions?

What are the economic implications of health diplomacy in shaping regional responses and influencing global health governance?

The hypotheses are

H1: The distinct healthcare policies and crisis management strategies in Central Asia, the Caucasus, and Italy are influenced by their unique political systems, healthcare infrastructures, and economic conditions, with health diplomacy playing a key role in resource acquisition.

H2: Chinese health diplomacy significantly shaped the crisis management approaches in Central Asia and the Caucasus, driven by geopolitical ties and economic dependencies, while Italy's engagement with Chinese health diplomacy was moderated by its European context.

H3: The use of health diplomacy, particularly by China, highlights a shift in global health governance, with significant economic implications for regional stability and the reconfiguration of international relations.

Theoretical Framework

This study integrates several theoretical perspectives to analyze the pandemic responses in Central Asia, the Caucasus, and Italy:

Public Health Theory: Evaluating the healthcare policies implemented in each region, focusing on the resilience and effectiveness of health systems in managing the crisis.

Crisis Management Theory: Analyzing the decision-making processes, leadership roles, and

crisis communication strategies within each region's healthcare response.

International Relations Theory: Exploring the role of international influences, particularly through Chinese health diplomacy, on global health governance.

Health Diplomacy: Examining how countries leveraged diplomatic channels to secure medical supplies, vaccines, and economic support, and how these efforts influenced global health policy.

Policy Diffusion and Global Governance: Investigating the spread of policies across regions, with a focus on the economic dimensions and the mechanisms of diffusion, including coercion, competition, and learning.

This integrated theoretical approach provides a comprehensive understanding of the pandemic's impact on healthcare policies, crisis management, and health diplomacy, with particular attention to the economic implications and their broader significance for global health governance and regional stability.

Section 1: Theoretical Foundations and Literature Review Health Diplomacy Theory

Health diplomacy has emerged as a critical dimension of global health governance, especially in the context of cross border health crises like the COVID-19 pandemic (Kickbusch, 2021). Defined as the practice of using health initiatives and policies to achieve diplomatic and foreign policy objectives, health diplomacy serves as a tool for fostering international cooperation and advancing national interests in global forums (Wong et al., 2024). By addressing health issues through diplomacy, countries can strengthen international alliances, enhance soft power, and promote peace and stability.

The concept of vaccine diplomacy became particularly salient during the COVID-19 pandemic. Vaccine diplomacy involves the strategic distribution of vaccines to strengthen geopolitical ties and project global influence (Lee, 2023). Countries like China, Russia (Kirgizov-Barskii & Morozov, 2022), and the United States used vaccines as instruments of foreign policy to extend their influence, especially in regions with limited access to vaccines.

Historically, health diplomacy has been instrumental in the global eradication of diseases such as smallpox and in international efforts to combat HIV/AIDS (Katsuma, 2023). Contemporary research highlights the dual role of health diplomacy as both a mechanism for international collaboration and a field of geopolitical competition (Stock, 2023). The COVID-19 pandemic has underscored this duality, as countries leveraged health diplomacy to address global health needs while simultaneously advancing their national interests.

Section 2: Comparative Analysis of Regional Responses Central Asia and the Caucasus

The COVID-19 pandemic posed significant challenges for the healthcare systems of Central Asia and the Caucasus, which were already constrained by limited resources and infrastructure. The initial response in countries like Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, and Georgia involved rapid lockdowns and public health campaigns to curb the virus (Balakrishnan, 2020). However, these responses were hampered by insufficient testing capacity and shortages of medical supplies, prompting the need for international assistance.

China's health diplomacy played a key role in addressing these challenges by providing

essential medical supplies and, later, vaccines (Lee, 2023 and Liu et al., 2022). China's engagement was not purely humanitarian (Vadlamannati et al., 2023); it also strengthened diplomatic ties and reinforced its geopolitical influence in the region (Zeng et al., 2023).

The healthcare infrastructure in the region was ill prepared for the demands of the pandemic, particularly in countries like Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan (Lehman, 2021). The assistance provided by China helped to mitigate these deficiencies, but it also increased the region's dependency on external actors, raising concerns about the long-term sustainability of such reliance (Laruelle, 2021).

The economic impact of COVID-19 was severe in Central Asia and the Caucasus, as the regions rely heavily on sectors like energy and remittances (UNDP, 2020). The fiscal measures implemented by governments were limited by the regions' constrained financial resources. China's broader Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) provided not only health related aid but also economic support to stabilize these economies during the pandemic (Garcia-Herrero & Schindowski, 2022).

Italy's Experience

Italy was one of the first countries in Europe to face the full brunt of COVID-19, and its healthcare system was quickly overwhelmed. The crisis exposed gaps in Italy's preparedness, particularly in terms of ICU capacity and access to ventilators and personal protective equipment (Andreozzi et al., 2021). Italy's initial response involved stringent lockdown measures and a call for international support, including from China (Jang et al., 2021; Yuan, 2023).

China's early provision of PPE and medical teams to Italy marked a significant moment in global health diplomacy during the pandemic (Chen., 2021). While this aid was vital during the initial surge, Italy also benefitted from extensive support from the European Union (EU), which coordinated vaccine procurement and economic recovery efforts (Wouters et al., 2021). Italy's dual reliance on EU mechanisms and Chinese health diplomacy illustrates the balancing act that many countries faced during the pandemic.

Economically, Italy's recession was one of the deepest in Europe due to COVID-19. The Italian government introduced large scale fiscal interventions to protect jobs and businesses, but it was the EU's Recovery Fund that provided much needed financial relief (Petraglia et al., 2022). Italy's involvement in the EU's collective response limited its reliance on external powers like China, contrasting with the dependency seen in Central Asia and the Caucasus.

Comparative Analysis: Commonalities and Differences

The experiences of Central Asia, the Caucasus, and Italy during the COVID-19 pandemic reveal both commonalities and differences in their responses. All regions experienced significant strains on their healthcare systems, highlighting the need for external support. While Central Asia and the Caucasus became heavily reliant on Chinese health diplomacy, Italy managed to balance European solidarity with its engagements with China.

The economic impacts were severe across all regions, yet Italy benefitted from the structural support of the EU, reducing its dependency on external aid (Sanfelici, 2020). In contrast, Central Asia and the Caucasus lacked such multilateral support mechanisms, making them more

susceptible to the influence of health diplomacy, particularly from China (Laruelle, 2022).

Section 3: The Influence of Chinese Strategies Chinese Health Diplomacy

China's health diplomacy during the COVID-19 pandemic was marked by its strategic provision of medical supplies, vaccines, and expertise to countries around the world (Yuan, 2023). This strategy was part of China's broader Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) and included the creation of the Health Silk Road, which allowed China to extend its influence in regions like Central Asia and the Caucasus (Kartodirdjo & Rahman, 2024).

China's vaccine diplomacy in particular was a cornerstone of its foreign policy during the pandemic. Through the distribution of vaccines such as Sinopharm and Sinovac, China strengthened its diplomatic ties with countries that were underserved by Western vaccine initiatives (Zubair et al., 2023). The reception of Chinese aid in Central Asia and the Caucasus was largely positive, as these regions lacked the financial means and logistical capacity to secure vaccines from other sources (Mirza et al., 2020).

Theoretical discussions on China's health diplomacy suggest that it challenges existing frameworks of global governance, where Western countries and multilateral organizations like the World Health Organization (WHO) have traditionally held sway (Jakusné & Murányi, 2022). China's assertive health diplomacy during the pandemic reflects its growing influence on global health policy, with significant implications for future international relations (Liu et al., 2022).

Impact on Central Asia and the Caucasus

China's health diplomacy had a profound impact on the healthcare responses in Central Asia and the Caucasus (Laruelle, 2022). These regions, which had limited access to Western vaccines, turned to China for critical medical aid and vaccines (Zhang & Jamali, 2022), reinforcing China's position as a key diplomatic and economic partner.

In Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, and Georgia, Chinese aid (Zhang, 2021) was essential in helping to manage the pandemic. In the Caucasus, the geopolitical context complicated the reception of Chinese aid, but countries like Armenia (Chekijian, 2021) and Azerbaijan (Sankova, 2023) still benefited from Chinese medical supplies and vaccines.

Comparative Analysis with Italy

Italy's engagement with Chinese health diplomacy, though significant, was more limited than in Central Asia and the Caucasus due to its integration into the EU. Italy benefitted from early Chinese shipments of PPE and medical expertise, but its reliance on the EU's collective mechanisms for vaccine distribution ultimately reduced its dependency on China (Jang et al., 2021; Yuan, 2023).

Section 4: Theoretical Synthesis and Implications Health Diplomacy and Global Governance

China's use of health diplomacy during the COVID-19 pandemic has reshaped global health governance by challenging Western dominance in this field (Landini & Sicurelli, 2023). As countries in Central Asia, the Caucasus, and even parts of Europe turned to China for medical aid, it became clear that health diplomacy would be a significant component of future international relations.

Conclusion

Health diplomacy, particularly as practiced by China, has emerged as a powerful tool for

extending geopolitical influence during the COVID-19 pandemic. As countries look to future global health crises, the role of health diplomacy in shaping international relations and healthcare resilience will continue to be critical. In Central Asia, the Caucasus, and Italy, the balance between regional cooperation and external aid will shape the contours of health diplomacy for years to come (Pattanshetty et al., 2023).

Funding Statement

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Katsuma, Y. (2023). Global Health Diplomacy to Combat Communicable Diseases and to Promote Universal Health Coverage in Achieving the Sustainable Development Goal 3. In: Urata, S., Kuroda, K., Tonegawa, Y. (eds) Sustainable Development Disciplines for Humanity. Sustainable Development Goals Series. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-4859-6_2
2. Laruelle, M. (2021). Covid – 19 Pandemic and Central Asia – Crisis Management, Economic Impact, and Social Transformations, Marlene Laruelle, editor. Washington, D.C.: The George Washington University, Central Asia Program.
3. Sanfelici, M. (2020). The Italian Response to the COVID-19 Crisis: Lessons Learned and Future Direction in Social Development. *The International Journal of Community and Social Development*, 2(2), 191-210. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2516602620936037>
4. Zeng, W., Ding, M., Liu, H. (2023). China's health silk road construction during the COVID- 19 pandemic. *Global Public Health*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/17441692.2023.2185799>
5. Petraglia, C., Scalera, D., & Monti, A. C. (2022). The economic effects of Covid-19 in Italian regions. Evidence, expectations, policies. In *The regional challenges in the post-Covid era* (pp. 125-146). Franco Angeli.
6. Wong BLH, Nordström A, Piot P, et al. From polycrisis to metacrisis: harnessing windows of opportunity for renewed political leadership in global health diplomacy. *BMJ Glob Health* 2024;9:e015340. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2024-015340
7. Jing, Y., et al. (2023). *China's Health Diplomacy in Europe: The Case of Italy during COVID-19*. *Journal of Contemporary China*, 32(1), 45-62.
8. Kickbusch, I., Nikogosian H., Kazatchkine M., Kökény M. (2021). *A Guide to Global Health Diplomacy. Better health – improved global solidarity – more equity*. The Graduate Institute Geneva - Global Health Centre - Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies – Switzerland.
9. Laruelle, M. (2022). *China's Belt and Road Initiative in Central Asia: Health Diplomacy during the COVID-19 Pandemic*. *Journal of Eurasian Studies*, 13(1), 14-32.

10. Stock, H. (2023). The Implications of Politicizing Global Health Diplomacy in a Multipolar World. Independent Study Project (ISP) Collection. 3725. https://digitalcollections.sit.edu/isp_collection/3725
11. Balakrishnan, V. S. (2020). COVID-19 response in central Asia. *The Lancet Microbe*, Volume 1, Issue 7, e281. DOI: [10.1016/S2666-5247\(20\)30177-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(20)30177-4)
12. UNDP (2020). Covid – 19 and Central Asia: Socio Economic Impacts and Key Policy Considerations for Recovery. United Nations Development Programs (UNDP). <https://www.undp.org/eurasia/publications/covid-19-and-central-asia-socio-economic-impacts-and-key-policy-considerations-recovery>
13. Lehman D. (2021) The State of the Covid 19 Pandemic in Central Asia. Caspian Policy Center. <https://www.caspianpolicy.com/research/energy-and-economy-program-eeep/the-state-of-the-covid-19-pandemic-in-central-asia>
14. Lee ST. (2023). Vaccine diplomacy: nation branding and China’s COVID-19 soft power play. *Place Brand Public Dipl.*;19(1):64–78. doi: 10.1057/s41254-021-00224-4. Epub 2021 Jul 6. PMID: PMC8259554.
15. Liu, L.; Huang, Y.; Jin, J. (2022) China’s Vaccine Diplomacy and Its Implications for Global Health Governance. *Healthcare* 2022, 10, 1276. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10071276>
16. Vadlamannati KC, Jung YS. (2023) The political economy of vaccine distribution and China’s Belt and Road Initiative. *Business and Politics*.;25(1):67-88. doi:10.1017/bap.2022.26
17. Kirgizov-Barskii, A.V., Morozov, V.M., 2022. Vaccine Diplomacy and Vaccine Nationalism. *Russia in Global Affairs*, 20(3), pp. 162-181. DOI: 10.31278/1810-6374-2022-20-3-162-181
18. Garcia-Herrero, A. and Schindowski, R. (2023). Global trends in countries' perceptions of the belt and road initiative. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4434844>
19. Andreozzi, V., Marzilli, F., Medvedec, M., Previ, L., Cantagalli, M. R., Princi, G., ... & Ferreri, A. (2021). The impact of covid-19 on orthopaedic trauma: a retrospective comparative study from a single university hospital in Italy. *Orthopedic Reviews*, 12(4). <https://doi.org/10.4081/or.2020.8941>
20. Jang, S. Y., Hussain-Alkhateeb, L., Ramírez, T. R., Al-Aghbari, A. A., Chackalackal, D. J., Cardenas-Sanchez, R., ... & Kroeger, A. (2021). Factors shaping the covid-19 epidemic curve: a multi-country analysis. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 21(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-021-06714-3>
21. Yuan, S. (2023). The Health Silk Road: A Double-Edged Sword? Assessing the Implications of China’s Health Diplomacy. *World* 4, no. 2: 333-346. <https://doi.org/10.3390/world4020021>
22. Chen, W. A. (2021). Covid-19 and china’s changing soft power in Italy. *Chinese Political Science Review*, 8(3), 440-460. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41111-021-00184-3>
23. Wouters, O. J., Shadlen, K. C., Salcher-Konrad, M., Pollard, A. J., Larson, H., Teerawattanon, Y., ... & Jit, M. (2021). Challenges in ensuring global access to covid-19 vaccines: production, affordability, allocation, and deployment. *The Lancet*, 397(10278), 1023-1034. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(21\)00306-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(21)00306-8)
24. Kartodirdjo, A. & Rahman, M.S.A. (2024) China's Health Diplomacy Strategy During COVID-19 Crisis 2020-

2022. Insignia: Journal of International Relations, [S.l.], v. 11, n. 1, p. 93- 107, june 2024. ISSN 2597-9868. Available at: <<https://jos.unsoed.ac.id/index.php/insi-gnia/article/view/11296>>.
25. Zubair, Bilal & Waseem, Sibra & Shahid, Khushbakht. (2023). Soft Power and Vaccine Diplomacy: An Analysis of China's Global Image Enhancement during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *BTTN Journal*. 2. 104-129. 10.61732/bj.v2i2.73.
26. Zhang, D., Jamali, A.B. (2022) China's "Weaponized" Vaccine: Intertwining Between International and Domestic Politics. *East Asia* 39, 279–296. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12140-021-09382-x>
27. Mirza, M. N., Abbas, H., & Nizamani, M. Q. (2020). Evaluating china's soft power discourse: assumptions, strategies, and objectives. *Global Strategic & Securities Studies Review*, V(IV), 40-50. [https://doi.org/10.31703/gssr.2020\(v-iv\).05](https://doi.org/10.31703/gssr.2020(v-iv).05)
28. Jakusné, É. H. and Murányi, E. (2022). What is vaccine diplomacy?. *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae, Communicatio*, 9(1), 79-89. <https://doi.org/10.2478/auscom-2022-0006>
29. Zhang, P. (2021). Study on the experience of public health system construction in china's covid-19 prevention. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.610824>
30. Chekijian, S. (2021). Emergency systems in the republic of armenia: country in crisis or land of opportunity. *European Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 28(5), 337-338. <https://doi.org/10.1097/mej.0000000000000831>
31. Sankova, M. V., Николенко, В., Литвинова, Т. Н., Volel, B. A., Oganesyanyan, M. V., Vovkogon, A. D., ... & Sinelnikov, M. Y. (2023). Vaccination as a significant factor influencing the psychoemotional state of medical students during the sars-cov-2 pandemic: an international aspect. *Clinical Practice & Epidemiology in Mental Health*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.2174/1745-0179-v19-e230420-2022-49>
32. Landini, I. and Sicurelli, D. (2023). Covax versus sinovac: a test for the legitimacy of the world health organization in south east asia. *The British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 26(2), 236-253. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13691481231217427>
33. Pattanshetty, S., Inamdar, A., Bhatt, K., Dsouza, V. S., Prem, A., & Brand, H. (2023). Mapping capacity building programs in health diplomacy: relevance and application in an uncertain world. *F1000Research*, 12, 820. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.134689.1>