

THE ROLE OF JOB CHARACTERISTICS ON JOB SATISFACTION OF CREATIVITY INDUSTRY EMPLOYEES

Sunardi Sembiring Brahmama
Herbert Irfan Simanjuntak
Try Supyko
Mohd Haizam Saudi

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.37178/ca-c.23.1.303>

Sunardi Sembiring Brahmama, Bandung, Indonesia
Email: sunardi.brahmana@widyatama.id

Herbert Irfan Simanjuntak, Bandung, Indonesia
Email: herbert.irfan@widyatama.ac.id

Try Supyko, Bandung, Indonesia
Email: supyko.try@widyatama.ac.id

Mohd Haizam Saudi

Abstract

Creative industry is tied to creative performance of employees. This performance of creative employees relied greatly on their perception to their job. This study was designed to investigate the relationship of job characteristics and job satisfaction of employees on creative industry particularly in Bandung city. Data were collected from 114 creative employees engaging in design, advertising, architecture, and fashion business within creative industry of Bandung city. This study found out that job characteristics and its five dimensions positively and significantly affected job satisfaction of employees in creative industry in Bandung city. In case of result implications of this study, management of the companies within creative industry in Bandung city requires to understand and model a job with characteristics perceived interesting and challenging by creative employees.

Keywords: Job Characteristics, Job Satisfaction, Creative Industry

Introduction

Creative industry constitutes an array of economic activities associated with a business of production or use of knowledge and information [1, 2]. The Ministry of Trade of the Republic of Indonesia declared this creative industry as creative economy which is an industry generated from utilization of creativity, skills, and individual talents to create prosperity and jobs in such a way of producing and exploiting the individual creativity and inventiveness. [3] that creative industry among others consists of a business of advertisement, architecture, art, handicraft, design, fashion, film, music, performing arts,

publishing, research and development, software, toys, radio and television, and games. Based on the explanation above, it clearly reveals that within creative industry, an individual creativity is a key to success in this industry. Without creative people, this industry definitely could not be called as creative industry. Creative employees are the backbone of companies within creative industry.

Covid-19 pandemic has been happening nearly for two years. Covid-19 pandemic led to an unusual impact particularly either in economy or social culture. Even though the situation currently seems getting better, but nobody knows when this pandemic will end. Economic impact is also suffered by creative industry, in which market demand decreased, and led to the increase in competition among companies in the industry. One endeavor to survive amidst this bad condition and global uncertainty is in a way by improving creative ideas manifested in the form of new and creative products or services [4-8].

Creativity of creative employees in this creative industry is heavily dependent on their psychological state. One thing that plays a role in determining this condition is how they perceive their job, or in other words, how is their satisfaction towards their work.

Job satisfaction is a delightful emotional state of an employee, as an outcome of his/her work evaluation[9] (Locke, 1969). The more satisfied an employee, the more she/he will be encouraged to perform more creativity to achieve better performance [10-14]. Managers need to understand and model job aspects that can improve job satisfaction as it will be one of the factors that will determine the organization's performance.

One of the prominent factors related to job satisfaction is job characteristics. Employees' perception on job characteristics will affect their job satisfaction[13, 15-19]. A job with job characteristics perceived interesting will inspire employees more to complete their job better, and this will generate higher job satisfaction (Hackman and [20, 21] discovered that in several industries, employees prefer complex and challenging works. This probably also applies in creative industry, in which ideas or creations of these creative employees will be more challenging when dealing with complex and challenging works. This study was designed to define the effect of job characteristics on job satisfaction of employees within creative industry particularly in Bandung city.

Literature Review

Job Satisfaction

A study on job satisfaction is a very popular study of behavior. Until 1996, probably more than 10,000 studies on job satisfaction were published ([22-25] Job satisfaction is an expression of how one's feeling on his/her job, and it also includes various other aspects of the job.

In general, job satisfaction is defined as an emotional reaction of employees towards the work situations they face[9, 26-28] One of the most frequently used definitions is the one that was suggested stating that job satisfaction is a pleasant feeling emerged from one's positive perception towards her/his job or working experience. Job satisfaction is an integral part of success of an organization. [12, 13, 29] application as a state to which extent employees like their jobs. defined job satisfaction as an affection or emotional response towards various aspects of a job involving an employee's positive or negative feeling to her/his job. In accordance with this definition, one could be satisfied with one or several aspects of her/his job. The impact of job satisfaction or dissatisfaction is a crucial concept for the board due to the strong relationships between employee's job satisfaction and work performance, in which ultimately will affect productivity and profit

of the company [10-12, 21, 23, 24, 29-32] least two effects occur when there is job dissatisfaction, namely: first, increasing employee absence, and second, increasing employee turnover rate. Both matters definitely will be very detrimental to the organization.

Job Characteristics

Job characteristics are job attributes that may generate motivational functions for the employees [16, 27] stated that job characteristics have five dimensions, namely job variety, job identity, job significance, autonomy, and feedback. [29] introduced a theory of job characteristics to define conditions which may intrinsically motivate people when they perform their jobs. According to this theory, organizations can drive positive work attitude and improve work quality through these five dimensions of job characteristics [1] namely:


1. Variety: variety level of skills and talents required by a company;
2. Identity: a level to which extent an employment requires partial completion of a job, or completion of a job in whole from the beginning to the end;
3. Task significance: a level to which extent a job influences other's life;
4. Autonomy: independency level that a company may provide with;
5. Feedback: clarity level of information of a job performance level.

Job characteristics model of Hackman and on the five dimensions or characteristics above. Job characteristics play a prominent role in organizational theory. Job characteristics allow organizations to identify differences and similarities between jobs, and they can also determine internal work motivation in work planning[27] developed Job Diagnostic Survey (JDS) to measure the five dimensions of job characteristics. diagnosed jobs as the basis of consideration for work remodeling so as to specify a job that potentially improves job motivation and satisfaction.

Job Characteristics and Job Satisfaction

Job characteristics analysis or diagnosis of a particular work is the basis for consideration in work remodeling for the purpose of increasing job motivation and satisfaction[23] This could be done by realizing that problems of motivation, satisfaction, and performance probably are the results of inadequacies in a job represented that job characteristics are strongly connected with motivation, job satisfaction, and job performance. relative effect of job characteristics, leadership behaviors, and job satisfaction on organization citizenship. The basic argument behind job characteristics model is objective characteristics of a job that influence work outcome, including job satisfaction or performance (Fried and Ferris, 1987). Some studies found out significant relationship between job characteristics and commitment[2, 9, 12, 13, 18, 20, 29, 31]

Figure 1 Theoretical Framework



Based on above discussion, then it can be arranged the following research hypotheses:

- H: Job characteristics positively and significantly influence job satisfaction.
- H1: Job variety positively and significantly influence job satisfaction.
- H2: Job identity positively and significantly influence job satisfaction.
- H3: Task significance positively and significantly influence job satisfaction.
- H4: Job autonomy positively and significantly influence job satisfaction.
- H5: Job feedback positively and significantly influence job satisfaction.

Methodology

This study is explanatory research, explaining the relationship between job characteristics and job satisfaction of creative employees within creative industry particularly in Bandung city. The object of this study was perception of creative employees on job characteristics and job satisfaction of the creative employees, and its analysis unit was individual. The population of this study was creative employees within creative industry in Bandung city, especially engaging in a business of design, advertising, architecture, and fashion. 250 questionnaires in the form of Google Form, distributed to employees accessible by email and social media. 114 questionnaires were returned and filled out completely. Thus, sample of this study consisted of 250 creative employees in Bandung city (in design, advertising, architecture, and fashion businesses) with a response rate of 45.6%.

The measuring tools were arranged in the form of questionnaire with close questions complying with 7-point Likert Type Scales. The questionnaires consisted of three sections, namely a section for measurement of job characteristics, a section for measurement of job satisfaction, and section of demography. Job satisfaction was measured by adapting the measuring tool [15, 26] containing 20 questions in order to measure those five dimensions of job satisfaction. Job characteristics were measured by adapting measurement instrument by containing 15 questions in order to measure those five dimensions of job characteristics.

Goodness of measures was tested using criteria of Cronbach's Alpha for reliability, and Pearson Product Moment Correlation for validity. Hypotheses test was conducted using analysis of simple linear regression and analysis of multiple linear regression.

Findings and Discussion

114 creative employees in the business of design, advertising, architecture, and fashion in Bandung city responded this study by filling out the questionnaire completely. More than 60% respondents were male, and they already had work experience more than 5 years in average. The descriptive data showed that in general these creative employees perceived their job characteristics interesting and challenging; and generally their job satisfaction level was relatively good, if not higher.

Goodness of measures for measuring tools used suggested that the reliability of all measuring tools was good, and validity of the measuring tools was also good. The subsequent step was hypotheses testing. The hypotheses were tested using multiple regression analysis. Analysis of simple linear regression was used to analyze the correlation between job characteristics and job satisfaction, meanwhile analysis of multiple linear regression was used to analyze the correlation among those five dimensions of job characteristics and job satisfaction. Table 1, Table 2, and Table 3 showed results of analysis of simple linear regression, while Table 4, Table 5, and Table 6 suggested results of multiple linear regression.

On Table 1 and Table 2, it can be seen the significant F value of 968,505 at the rate of 1%, and value of Adjusted R Square is in the amount of .895. This reveals that value variety of job characteristics could define variety of job satisfaction by 89.5%.

Table 1

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.947	.896	.895	.27584

a. Predictors: (Constant), JCTotal

Table 2

ANOVA

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F	Sig.
1	Regression	73,692	1	73,692	968,505	.000
	Residual	8,522	112	.076		
	Total	82,214	113			

a. Dependent Variable: JStotal
 b. Predictors: (Constant), JCTotal

Table 3

Coefficient

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficient	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	B		
1	(Constant)	1,915	.134		14,297	.000
	JCTotal	.797	.026	.947	31,121	.000

a. Dependent Variable: JStotal

Results of regression coefficient test (t-test) on Table 3 suggested that coefficient t value of job characteristics was in the amount of 31,121 significant at the rate of 1%. This suggested that job characteristics positively and significantly influence job satisfaction. Hence, it can be concluded that main hypotheses of this study are acceptable. On Table 4 and 5, it can be seen F value amounting to 191,878 significant at the rate of 1%, and Adjusted R Square value amounting to .894. This suggested that value variety of those five dimensions of job characteristics could define variety of job satisfaction by 89.4%.

Table 1

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.948	.899	.894	.27753

a. Dependent Variable: JStotal
 b. Predictors: (Constant), JCfeedback, JCTaskidnt, JCTasksig, JCAutonomy, JCvariety

Table 5

ANOVA

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F	Sig.
1	Regression	78,895	5	14,779	191,878	.000
	Residual	8,318	108	.077		
	Total	82,214	113			

- a. Dependent Variable: JStotal
- b. Predictors: (Constant), JCfeedback, JCtaskidnt, JCtasksig, JCautionomy, JCvariety

Table 6

ANOVA

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficient	t	Sig.
1		B	Std. Error	B		
	(Constant)	1,924	.136		14,121	.000
	JCvariety	.797	.063	.234	2,885	.005
	JCtaskidnt	.170	.071	.207	2,376	.019
	JCtasksig	.138	.062	.175	2,242	.027
	JCautionomy	.207	.062	.262	3,337	.001
	JCfeedback	.099	.044	.128	2,231	.028

- a. Dependent Variable: JStotal

Table 6 suggested the t-test result of the five regressions coefficient of those five dimensions of job characteristics. The result showed that regression coefficient of those five dimensions of job characteristics was positive and significant, meaning that each of the five dimensions of these job characteristics positively and significantly influence job satisfaction. This result suggested that those five hypotheses regarding correlation of each dimension with job satisfaction are acceptable. Thus, it can be concluded that:

1. Job variety positively and significantly influence job satisfaction of creative industry employees in Bandung city;
2. Task identity positively and significantly influence job satisfaction of creative industry employees in Bandung city;
3. Task significance positively and significantly influence job satisfaction of creative industry employees in Bandung city;
4. Job autonomy positively and significantly influence job satisfaction of creative industry employees in Bandung city;
5. Job feedback positively and significantly influence job satisfaction of creative industry employees in Bandung city;

This study discovered that job characteristics is a factor which could promote the increase of job satisfaction of creative industry employees in Bandung city. This finding is in line with previous studies discovered that job characteristics are crucial determinant for the increase in job satisfaction [10, 11, 16, 24, 33, 34]. Employees in creative industry in Bandung city perceived their jobs as job with interesting characteristics and full of challenges. Moreover, these employees also perceived their jobs having higher autonomy level making them feel having enough space to explore their creative ideas.

Their attitude in perceiving their job greatly encouraged them to be creative, and when the ideas appear, it caused pleasure and contentment with what they feel for their works.

For the management of the company in creative industry, they are expected to model a jobs having compatible characteristics so as to make employees feel challenged and to be more creative. Creative industry owed much to new ideas personally emerged by each employee. The problem was that management needs to provide motivation and create such situation by work planning that can trigger the emergence of creative ideas of employees.

Conclusion

Creative industry is highly dependent on the performance of creative employees in terms of how they could generate creative ideas. Creative industry develops as new creative ideas grow and emerge. Without creativity, this industry is not a creative industry. Employees' creativity in creative industry is very heavily dependent on their job satisfaction.

This study found out that job characteristics constitutes one of the crucial factors that can lead to job satisfaction. Management of the companies in this creative industry needs to understand how to design a job that is considered good, fun, and challenging by these creative employees. The job should have variety, identity, significance, autonomy, and feedback.

This study was only conducted in a small proportion of fields within creative industry. Further study is suggested to expand this field so as to discover more comprehensive findings as expected in an effort to understand the creative employees' job satisfaction as well as to explore other factors potentially affecting job satisfaction greatly.

References

1. Ghazi, E.L. and M. Goede, *Creative industries: a case study of Isfahan, Iran*. International Journal of Social Economics, 2019 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSE-09-2017-0409>.
2. Mayasari, Y. and T. Chandra, *Social capital for knowledge management system of the creative industry*. Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy, 2020 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEC-01-2020-0008>.
3. Hackman, J.R. and G.R. Oldham, *Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory*. Organizational behavior and human performance, 1976. **16**(2): p. 250-279 DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073\(76\)90016-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073(76)90016-7).
4. Miller, J.J.H. and E. O'Riordan, *Robust numerical method for a singularly perturbed problem arising in the modelling of enzyme kinetics*. BIOMATH, 2020. **9**(2): p. 2008227 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.11145/j.biomath.2020.08.227>.
5. Zhanbulatova, R., et al., *THE ENERGY VECTOR OF KAZAKHSTAN-RUSSIA RELATIONS IN THE CONTEXT OF GLOBAL CHANGES ON THE INTERNATIONAL ENERGY MARKET*. Central Asia and the Caucasus, 2020. **21**(2): p. 121-130 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.37178/ca-c.20.2.11>.
6. Rašidagić, E.K. and Z. Hesova, *Development of Turkish Foreign Policy Towards the Western Balkans with Focus on Bosnia and Herzegovina*. Croatian International Relations Review, 2020. **26**(86): p. 96-129 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.37173/cirr.26.86.4>.
7. Lobão, J. and A.I. Costa, *Do Fixed-Income ETFs Overreact? Evidence of Short-term Predictability following Extreme Price Shocks*. Cuadernos de Economía, 2020. **43**(122): p. 131-144 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32826/cude.v42i122.145>.
8. Kasalak, G. and M. Dagyar, *The Relationship between Teacher Self-Efficacy and Teacher Job Satisfaction: A Meta-Analysis of the Teaching and Learning International Survey (TALIS)*. Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice, 2020. **20**(3): p. 16-33.

9. Locke, E.A., *What is job satisfaction?* Organizational behavior and human performance, 1969. **4**(4): p. 309-336 DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073\(69\)90013-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-5073(69)90013-0).
10. Ahmad, A., *The relationship among job characteristics organizational commitment and employee turnover intentions: A reciprocation perspective.* Journal of Work-Applied Management, 2018 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/JWAM-09-2017-0027>.
11. Grobelna, A., *Effects of individual and job characteristics on hotel contact employees' work engagement and their performance outcomes: A case study from Poland.* International journal of contemporary hospitality management, 2019 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-08-2017-0501>.
12. Netemeyer, R.G., et al., *An investigation into the antecedents of organizational citizenship behaviors in a personal selling context.* Journal of marketing, 1997. **61**(3): p. 85-98 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299706100306>.
13. Niehoff, B.P., et al., *The influence of empowerment and job enrichment on employee loyalty in a downsizing environment.* Group & Organization Management, 2001. **26**(1): p. 93-113.
14. Dang, T.C., et al., *Factors affecting the profitability of listed commercial banks in Vietnam: Does agriculture finance matter?* AgBioForum, 2021. **23**(1): p. 32-41.
15. Chang, S.-C. and M.-S. Lee, *Relationships among personality traits, job characteristics, job satisfaction and organizational commitment—an empirical study in Taiwan.* The Business Review, 2006. **6**(1): p. 201-207.
16. Hauff, S. and N. Richter, *Power distance and its moderating role in the relationship between situational job characteristics and job satisfaction: An empirical analysis using different cultural measures.* Cross Cultural Management, 2015 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/CCM-11-2013-0164>.
17. Kong, H., et al., *Job satisfaction research in the field of hospitality and tourism.* International journal of contemporary hospitality management, 2018 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-09-2016-0525>.
18. Rai, A. and S. Maheshwari, *Exploring the mediating role of work engagement between the linkages of job characteristics with organizational engagement and job satisfaction.* Management Research Review, 2020 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/MRR-10-2019-0442>.
19. Jassim, T.L., *The influence of oil prices, licensing and production on the economic development: An empirical investigation of Iraq economy.* 2021.
20. Taber, T.D. and G.M. Alliger, *A task-level assessment of job satisfaction.* Journal of Organizational Behavior, 1995. **16**(2): p. 101-121 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.4030160202>.
21. Turner, A.N. and P.R. Lawrence, *Industrial jobs and the worker: An investigation of response to task attributes.* 1965: Boston, Harvard University.
22. Bhuian, S.N. and B. Mengue, *An extension and evaluation of job characteristics, organizational commitment and job satisfaction in an expatriate, guest worker, sales setting.* Journal of Personal Selling & Sales Management, 2002. **22**(1): p. 1-11.
23. Boonzaier, B., B. Ficker, and B. Rust, *A review of research on the job characteristics model and the attendant job diagnostic survey.* South African journal of business management, 2001. **32**(1): p. 11-34 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajbm.v32i1.712>.
24. Fazlollahtabar, H., I. Mahdavi, and N. Mahdavi-Amiri, *Meta modelling of job satisfaction effective factors for improvement policy making in organizations.* Benchmarking: An International Journal, 2016 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-11-2013-0107>.
25. Pimongkhonkul, S., T. Khamkhunmuang, and W. Madhayamapurush, *Ethnobotanical Study and Plant Dimension Classification in Kwan Phayao Community Areas, Phayao Province, Thailand.* AgBioForum, 2021. **23**(1): p. 65-71.
26. Farh, J.-L., P.M. Podsakoff, and D.W. Organ, *Accounting for organizational citizenship behavior: Leader fairness and task scope versus satisfaction.* Journal of management, 1990. **16**(4): p. 705-721 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639001600404>.
27. Idaszak, J.R. and F. Drasgow, *A revision of the Job Diagnostic Survey: Elimination of a measurement artifact.* Journal of applied psychology, 1987. **72**(1): p. 69 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.72.1.69>.
28. Ilies, R. and T.A. Judge, *An experience-sampling measure of job satisfaction and its relationships with affectivity, mood at work, job beliefs, and general job satisfaction.* European journal of work and organizational psychology, 2004. **13**(3): p. 367-389 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13594320444000137>.
29. Okpara, J.O., *Personal characteristics as predictors of job satisfaction: An exploratory study of IT managers in a developing economy.* Information Technology & People, 2004 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/09593840410554247>.

30. Fried, Y. and G.R. Ferris, *The validity of the job characteristics model: A review and meta-analysis*. Personnel psychology, 1987. **40**(2): p. 287-322 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.1987.tb00605.x>.
31. Thomas, A., W.C. Buboltz, and C.S. Winkelspecht, *Job characteristics and personality as predictors of job satisfaction*. Organizational Analysis, 2004 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb028993>.
32. Schaefer, K.A., et al., *How Have Oilseed Relative Price Relationships Changed Over Time?* AgBioForum, 2021. **23**(1): p. 72-83.
33. Abbott, J.B., N.G. Boyd, and G. Miles, *Does type of team matter? An investigation of the relationships between job characteristics and outcomes within a team-based environment*. The Journal of social psychology, 2006. **146**(4): p. 485-507 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3200/SOCP.146.4.485-507>.
34. Bettencourt, L.A., K.P. Gwinner, and M.L. Meuter, *A comparison of attitude, personality, and knowledge predictors of service-oriented organizational citizenship behaviors*. Journal of applied psychology, 2001. **86**(1): p. 29 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.86.1.29>.